

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR-12-00894 EMC
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACTULE D
Johnathon Whitman,	JAN 2 3 2013
Defendant. For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from January 23, 2013 to Marry 13, 2013 to Marry 16, 2	Tanvery 23, 2013, the Court excludes district court excludes district court excludes district alwornia rch 13, 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served ablic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sees this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	e likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expec	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
——————————————————————————————————————	leny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	inreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given tments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	inreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ting into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: //2	Assistant United States Attorney